MAY 2 7 2005

WEMMH /SB/21 (09-04)
Approved for use through 7/31/2006. OMB 0651-0032
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the	Paperwork Reducti	on Act of 199	5, no persons are required to respond to	a collectio	on of information t	uniess it displays a valid OMB control number.	
			Application Number	 	84,777		
TRANSM	ITTAL		Filling Date	Feb	February 27,, 2002		
FORM		First Named Inventor	-	Jan Alan EGLEN			
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)		Group Art Unit	363	3639			
			Examiner Name	Fred	Freda Ann Nelson		
Total Number of Peges In this Submission		3	3 Attorney Docket Number 260		54-5		
		ENCL	OSURES (check all that a	apply)			
☐ Fee Transmittal Form		☐ Dra	wing(s)			Allowance Communication to	
☐ Fee Attached		☐ Licensing-related Papers		TC			
☐ Amendment Response				Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences			
☐ After Final							
☐ Affidavits/declaration(s)		Petition to Convert a Provisional Application		Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)			
Extension of Time Request		Power of Attorney, Revocation, Change of Correspondence		☐ Propr	ietary Information		
Express Abandonment	•		dress		☐ Status	s Letter	
☐ Information Disclosure		☐ Ter	minal Disclaimer		Retur	n Receipt Postcard	
 Certified Copy of Prioris Documents 	ty	☐ Re	quest for Refund		☑ Other identi	· Enclosure(s) (please fy below):	
Response to Missing Parts/Incomplete Application		CD, Number of CD(s)			view Summary		
		☐ Landscape Table on CD					
Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53		Remarks					
	SIGNA	TURE OF	APPLICANT, ATTORNE	Y, OR	AGENT		
Firm Name Wooda	rd, Emhardi	, Могіаг	ty, McNett & Henry LLP		•		
Signature	11/2						
Printed Name Charles	P. Schmal						
Date May 27	, 2005		•		Reg. No.	45,082	
	CI	ERTIFICA	ATE OF TRANSMISSION	MAILI	NG		
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria							
Signature /	1/12						
Typed or Charles	P. Schmal				Date	May 27, 2005	

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The Information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need essistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

CPS.la.347414

Transmit via facsimile to (703) 872-9305

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAY 2 7 2005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

		facsimile transi
In re patent application of:) Defende Terrinan	Trademark Off
T 41 TO 1) Before the Examiner	Ĺ
Jan Alan Eglen) Freda Ann Nelson	
Application No. 10/084,777) Treda Amii regodi	
Application 110. 10/004,777) Group Art Unit 3639	· /
Filed February 27, 2002)	
-) May 27, 2005	
DIGITAL ONLINE EXCHANGE)	
		1

hereby certify that this correspondence is being	
facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and	İ
Frademark Office/Mail Stop Amendment on:	
May 27, 2005	
Date	
(703) 872-9306	_
Facsimile Number	
(1/1900	
Signature	
Charles P. Schmal	
Typed or Printed Name	

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The Applicants wish to thank Examiner Nelson for taking the opportunity to conduct a telephonic interview with the undersigned representative on May 12, 2005. During the telephonic discussion, the May 11, 2005 Advisory Action was discussed. In particular, the Applicants' representative brought to the Examiner's attention that independent claim 60 was amended in the April 27, 2005 Amendment to merely incorporate the features that were previously recited in dependent claim 93, which was indicated as being allowable in the April 21, 2005 Office Action. As a result, it was believed that claim 60 was allowable according to the April 21, 2005 Office Action, and therefore, the April 27, 2005 Amendment should have been entered. After bringing this issue to the Examiner's attention, the Examiner indicated that the Advisory Action would be withdrawn, and the April 27, 2005 Amendment would be entered. However, it was indicated that a new reference was discovered, which might lead to the issuance of a new non-final Office Action. Since the Examiner indicated that the April 27, 2005 Amendment will be entered, it is believed that no further action is required on the part of the Applicants, but if for some reason action is required, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone to quickly resolve such an issue.

The Applicants' kindly request that if there is anything else that they or their representative can do to help speed prosecution of this case, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned representative by telephone so that any issue can be quickly addressed. The length of time for prosecuting the present application has been rather lengthy, so if there is anything that can be done to speed the process, the Applicants are more than willing to help.

As evidence of the Applicants' willingness to help, it should be noted that, on multiple occasions during the prosecution of the present application, the Applicants have repeatedly placed the case in condition for allowance based on the claims that were indicated as being allowable in the preceding Office Action. To provide some background, the application was originally filed on February 27, 2002, and the first Office Action was mailed on April 16, 2003. Based on the first Office Action, the July 18, 2003 Amendment amended the application in order to place the application in condition for allowance. Subsequently, the application went through the second level of review procedure for business method patent applications. Almost one year and five months after the July 18, 2003 Amendment was filed, a second non-final Office Action was mailed on December 15, 2004. On December 27, 2004, the Applicants' again submitted an Amendment, which was believed to only contain claims that were allowed or considered allowable based on the December 15, 2004 Office Action. A third, final Office Action was mailed on April 21, 2005, and in response, the above-discussed April 27, 2005 Amendment was filed to once more place the application into condition for allowance.

To reiterate, in view of the lengthy prosecution, the Applicants' are more than willing to help speed prosecution, and invite the Examiner to contact the undersigned by telephone to quickly resolve any remaining issues. So for example, if one or more claim amendments were required to place the case in condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone so that the proper amendments can be made or authorized, if needed.

Respectfully Submitted,

Œγ

Charles P. Schmal, Reg. No. 45,082

Woodard, Emhardt, Naughton, Mariarty

& McNett

Bank One Center/Tower

111 Monument Circle, Suite 3700

Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-5137

(317) 634-3456